

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,123	02/03/2004	Corinna Sundermann	029310.53175US 7253 EXAMINER		
23911 7	7590 10/24/2006				
	& MORING LLP	AULAKH, CHARANJIT			
P.O. BOX 143	JAL PROPERTY GROUP 00		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	N, DC 20044-4300		1625		
·			DATE MAILED: 10/24/200	DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		0/770,123	SUNDERMANN ET AL.
		caminer	Art Unit
		naranjit S. Aulakh	1625
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appear	s on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	HE MAILING DATE visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) communication. num statutory period will apr reply will, by statute, cauponths after the mailing date	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		1	
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in cond 	2b)⊡ This act ition for allowance	ion is non-final. except for formal matters, p	
closed in accordance with the p	ractice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	<u>-</u>		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 47-52</u> is/are 17) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-46</u> is/are objected to 18 □ Claim(s) are subject to 19	is/are withdrawn frejected.		
Application Papers	•		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to b	ov the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	-	ed or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the draw	ving(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl	_		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the pri	of: ority documents ha ority documents ha pies of the priority national Bureau (P	ave been received. ave been received in Applications are the contract of the c	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. According to paper filed on Sep. 15, 2006, the applicants have amended claims 50-52.

2. Claims 1-52 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on Sep. 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding enablement rejection of claims 47-52 and obviousness rejections of claims 1-24. The examiner agrees with the applicant's arguments regarding both of Gerlach's references that these references do not constitute prior art references under 102(e) and 102(a). The examiner also agrees with the applicant's arguments regarding indefiniteness rejections. In regard to enablement rejection of instant claims 47-52, the examiner does not agree with the applicant's arguments that the specification is enabling for treating/inhibiting all conditions mentioned in instant claims 50-52 and alleviating pain. The applicants did not provide any references of well established utility of structurally closely related compounds in the diseases recited in instant claims 50-52. There are no working examples in the instant specification showing efficacy of instant compounds in known animal models of all these disease conditions listed in instant claims 50-52. The specification must be enabling for one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the invention with reasonable expectation of success without undue experimentation. In the instant case, the specification is enabling only for treating pain. In regard to alleviating pain, NMDA receptor antagonism is only one of the several other known mechanisms responsible for the etiology of pain and therefore, the

Art Unit: 1625

instant compounds will have utility in treating but not alleviating (completely curing) pain.

In regard to obviousness rejections over Borrione and two Kobayashi's references, the examiner does not agree with the applicant's arguments on page 24, first and second paragraphs that the therapeutic utility or NMDA receptor activity of the instant compounds is due to salts of instant compounds and not the compounds themselves. The applicants have not provided any comparative data to substantiate this unexpected results. As stated clearly in the last office action, preparing salts of known compounds is within the routine skill of an artesian.

Conclusion

- 4. Rejection of claims 47-52 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 5. Rejections of claims 1-24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over both of Kobayashi's references are maintained for the reasons of record.
- 6. Rejection of claims 1-9, 11 and 15-24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Borrione's reference is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 7. Claims 25-46 are objected as being dependent upon a rejected claim.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1625

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie can be reached on (571)272-0670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1625

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625